Case Study: At a party



Brief description

Participants apply the extended communication model in a case study.

Goals

- Participants apply the extended communication model in practice
- Participants analyse breaks in expectations in communication situations on the verbal and nonverbal level
- Participants develop solutions that enable communication partners to find common ground

Time

Approx. 45-60 min.

Method

- · Culturally unspecific
- Distributive / instructive

Material

Case Study

Implementation

The trainer divides the participants into small groups. They discuss the case study and answer the following guiding questions:

- Who are the communicators?
- Where do you find indicators that they failed to co-create meaning?
- Why did this happen? When answering this question pay particular attention to the verbal, nonverbal and paraverbal factors?
- In the end, the communication is getting nowhere. However, how could you possibly repair the communication by going through the steps of analyzing, developing hypothesis and action strategies? Please develop a short role play showing concrete approaches to repair the communication and support the co-creation of meaning.

Evaluation in plenary: The participants present their results. The trainer moderates the presentation and summarises the core results.

Notes

n/a

Source

Andrea Voigt

Worksheet: Case Study – At a party



Task

Case Study: At a party

The three international students Jim, Akira and Sue are meeting at a party.

Jim (nudges Akira and says loudly): This is a great party, eh?

Akira (is startled, stands back, tries to put some distance between himself and Jim):

Yes, thank you.

Jim (leans forward toward Akira, with direct eye contact): If you want to meet some girls, I could introduce you.

Akira (shocked by such an offer, he backs away): But I don't know them. They might be upset.

Jim: Well, how else are you going to meet them?

Akira: (uncomfortable): Maybe during a class or something.

Sue, another exchange student, approaches Jim and Akira. She knows Akira but not Jim.

Sue (bows slightly and looks down): Hello, Akira.

Akira: Ah, Sue, this is my friend Jim.

Jim (leans forward into her space): Hi!

Sue (bows slightly and does not make direct eye contact): Hi, Jim

Jim: Are you two friends? (Wonders, why she won't look at him, thinks to himself, "Well, I'm not one of them. She probably thinks I'm ugly.")

Akira: Yes, we know each other.

A long pause ensues.

Jim (thinks to himself "This is going nowhere-I've got to think of something to say"; he speaks rather loudly): Great party, hey guys?

Akira and Sue both jump back.

Akira (thinks to himself, "This guy is too weird."): Yeah, this is fun.

Read the case study and discuss the following questions:

- Who are the communicators?
- Where do you find indicators that they failed to co-create meaning?
- Why did this happen? When answering this question pay particular attention to the verbal, nonverbal and paraverbal factors?
- In the end, the communication is getting nowhere. However, how could you possibly repair
 the communication by going through the steps of analyzing, developing hypothesis and action
 strategies? Please develop a short role play showing concrete approaches to repair the
 communication and support the co-creation of meaning.

Source: Neuliep, James W. 2015. Intercultural Communication, a contextual approach. London: Sage, p. 320-321, slightly amended

